Abstract

Cloud Infrastructure-as-a-Service paradigms have recently shown their utility for a vast array of computational problems, ranging from advanced web service architectures to high throughput computing. However, many scientific computing applications have been slow to adapt to virtualized cloud frameworks. This is due to performance impacts of virtualization technologies, coupled with the lack of advanced hardware support necessary for running many high performance scientific applications at scale.

By using KVM virtual machines that leverage both Nvidia GPUs and InfiniBand, we show that molecular dynamics simulations with LAMMPS and HOOMD run at near-native speeds. This experiment also illustrates how virtualized environments can support the latest parallel computing paradigms, including both MPI+CUDA and new GPUDirect RDMA functionality. Specific findings show initial promise in scaling of such applications to larger production deployments targeting large scale computational workloads.

1. Introduction

The cloud computing paradigm has become pervasive for commodity computing, but has not yet become widely accepted in the High Performance Computing (HPC) community. Various platform tools such as Hadoop and MapReduce, among others, have already percolated into data intensive computing within HPC [20]. In addition, there are efforts to support traditional HPC-centric scientific computing applications in virtualized cloud infrastructure. There are a multitude of reasons for supporting parallel computation in the cloud[12], including features such as dynamic scalability, specialized operating environments, simple management interfaces, fault tolerance, and enhanced quality of service, to name a few. There is a growing effort to support advanced scientific computing using virtualized infrastructure which can be seen by a variety of new efforts, including the Comet resource within XSEDE at San Diego Supercomputer Center [25].

Nevertheless, there exists a past notion that virtualization used in cloud infrastructure is inherently inefficient. Historically, cloud infrastructure has done little to provide the necessary advanced hardware capabilities that have become almost mandatory in supercomputers today, most notably advanced GPUs and high-speed, low-latency interconnects. Instead, cloud infrastructure providers have favored commodity homogeneous systems. The result of these notions has hindered the use of virtualized environments for parallel computation, where performance is paramount.

This is starting to change, however, as today’s cloud providers seek improved performance at lower power. This has resulted in a heterogeneous cloud. Amazon EC2 supports GPU accelerators in EC2 [5], and OpenStack supports heterogeneity using flavors [8]. These advancements in cloud level support for heterogeneity combined with better support for high-performance virtualization makes the use of cloud for HPC much more feasible for a wider range of applications and platforms.

Still, performance remains a concern within virtualized environments. To that end, a growing effort is currently underway that looks to systematically identify and reduce any overhead in virtualization technologies. While some of the first efforts to investigate HPC applications on cloud infrastructure like the DOE Magellan project [37] documented many shortcomings in performance, recent efforts have proven to be largely successful [24, 38], though further research is needed to address issues of scalability and I/O.

Thus, we see constantly diminishing overhead with virtualization, not only with traditional cloud workloads [18] but
also with HPC workloads. While virtualization will almost always include some additional overhead in relation to its dynamic features, the eventual goal for supporting HPC in virtualized environments is to minimize what overhead exists whenever possible. To advance the placement of HPC applications on virtual machines, new efforts are emerging which focus specifically on key hardware now commonplace in supercomputers. By leveraging new virtualization tools such as I/O memory management unit (IOMMU) device passthrough and Single Root I/O Virtualization (SR-IOV), we can now support such advanced hardware as the latest Nvidia Tesla GPUs [36] as well as InfiniBand fabrics for high performance networking and I/O [21, 26]. While previous efforts have focused on single-node advancements, our contribution in this paper is to show that real-world applications can operate efficiently in multi-node clusters and cloud infrastructure.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the background and related work necessary for high performance virtualization. In Section 3, we describe a heterogeneous cloud platform, based on OpenStack. This effort has been under development at USC/ISI since 2011 [14]. We describe our work towards integrating GPU and InfiniBand support into OpenStack, and we describe the heterogeneous scheduling additions that are necessary to support not only attached accelerators, but any cloud composed of heterogeneous elements.

In Sections 4 and 5 we describe the LAMMPS and HOOMD benchmarks and our experimental setup. In Section 6 we characterize the performance of LAMMPS and HOOMD in a virtual infrastructure complete with both Kepler GPUs and QDR InfiniBand. Both HOOMD and LAMMPS are used extensively in some of the world’s fastest supercomputers and represent example simulations that HPC supports today. We show that these applications are able to run at near-native speeds within a completely virtualized environment. Furthermore, we demonstrate the ability of such a virtualized environment to support cutting edge technologies such as RDMA GPUDirect, illustrating that the latest HPC technologies are also possible in a virtualized environment. In Section 7, we provide a brief discussion before concluding in Section 8.

2. Background and Related Work

Virtualization technologies and hypervisors have seen widespread deployment in support of a vast array of applications. This ranges from public commercial cloud deployments such as Amazon EC2 [1], Microsoft Azure [19], and Google’s Cloud Platform [6] to private deployments within colocation facilities, corporate data centers, and even national scale cyberinfrastructure initiatives. All these look to support various use cases and applications such as web servers, ACID and BASE databases, online object storage, and even distributed systems, to name a few.

The use of virtualization and hypervisors to support various HPC solutions has been studied with mixed results. In [38], it is found that there is a great deal of variance between hypervisors when running various distributed memory and MPI applications, and that overall, KVM performed well across an array of HPC benchmarks. Furthermore, some applications may not may fit well into default virtualized environments, such as High Performance Linpack [24]. Other studies have looked at interconnect performance in virtualization and found the performance of conventional techniques to be lacking even in the best-case scenario, with up to a 60% performance penalty [28].

Recently, various CPU architectures have added support for I/O virtualization mechanisms in the CPU ISA through the use of an I/O memory management unit (IOMMU). Often, this is referred to as PCI Passthrough, as it enabled devices on the PCI-Express bus to be passed directly to a specific virtual machine (VM). Specific hardware implementations include Intel’s VT-d [29], AMD’s IOMMU [9] for x86_64 architectures, and recently ARM System MMU [11]. All of these implementations effectively look to aid in the usage of DMA-capable hardware to be used within a specific virtual machine. By using these features, a wide array of hardware can be utilized directly within VMs and enable fast and efficient computation and I/O capabilities.

2.1 GPU Passthrough

Nvidia GPUs comprise the single most common accelerator in the Nov 2014 Top 500 List [15] and represent an increasing shift towards accelerators for HPC applications. Historically, GPU usage in a virtualized environment has been difficult, especially for scientific computation. Various front-end remote API implementations have been developed to provide CUDA and OpenCL libraries in VMs, which translate library calls to a back-end or remote GPU. One common implementation of this is rCUDA [16], which provides a front-end CUDA API within a VM or any compute node, and then sends the calls via Ethernet or InfiniBand to a separate node with 1 or more GPUs. While this method provides the desired functionality, it has the drawback of relying on the interconnect itself and the bandwidth available, which can be especially problematic on an Ethernet based network. Furthermore, as this method consumes bandwidth, it can leave little remaining for MPI or RDMA routines, thereby constructing a bottleneck for some MPI+CUDA applications that depend on inter-process communication. Another mechanism of using GPUs in VMs is hypervisor based virtualization [33].

Recently efforts have been seen to support such GPU accelerators within VMs using IOMMU technologies, with implementations now available with KVM, Xen, and VMWare [34–36, 39]. These efforts have shown that GPUs can achieve up to 99% of their bare metal performance when passed to a virtual machine using PCI Passthrough. While it has been demonstrated that using PCI Passthrough results in
high performance across a range of hypervisors and GPUs, the efforts have been limited to investigating single node performance until now.

2.2 SR-IOV and InfiniBand

With almost all parallel HPC applications, the interconnect fabric which enables fast and efficient communication between processors becomes a central requirement to achieving good performance. Specifically, a high bandwidth link is needed for distributed processors to share large amounts of data across the system. Furthermore, low latency becomes equally important for ensuring quick delivery of small message communications and resolving large collective barriers within many parallelized codes. One such interconnect, InfiniBand, has become the most common implementation within the Top500 list.

Supporting I/O interconnects in VMs has been aided by Single Root I/O Virtualization (SR-IOV), whereby multiple virtual PCI functions are created in hardware to represent a single PCI device. These virtual functions (VFs) can then be passed to a VM and used by the guest as if it had direct access to that PCI device. SR-IOV allows for the virtualization and multiplexing to be done within the hardware, effectively providing higher performance and greater control than software solutions.

SR-IOV has been in use in conjunction with Ethernet devices to provide high performance 10Gb TCP/IP connectivity within VMs [23], offering near-native bandwidth and advanced QoS features not easily obtained through emulated Ethernet offerings. Currently Amazon EC2 offers a high performance VM solution utilizing SR-IOV enabled 10Gb Ethernet adapters. While SR-IOV enabled 10Gb Ethernet solutions offers a big forward in performance, Ethernet still does not offer the high bandwidth or low latency typically found with InfiniBand solutions.

Recently SR-IOV support for InfiniBand has been added by Mellanox in the ConnectX series adapters. Initial evaluation of SR-IOV InfiniBand within KVM VMs has demonstration point-to-point bandwidth to be near-native, but with up to 30% latency overhead for very small messages [21, 30]. However, even with the noted overhead, this still signifies up to an order of magnitude difference in latency between InfiniBand and Ethernet with VMs. Furthermore, advanced configuration of SR-IOV enabled InfiniBand fabric is taking shape, with recent research showing up to a 30% reduction in the latency overhead [26]. However, real application performance has not yet been well understood until now.

2.3 GPUDirect

NVIDIA’s GPUDirect technology was introduced to reduce the overhead of data movement across GPUs [2, 32]. Currently, there exists three distinct versions of GPUDirect. GPUDirect v1 adds accelerated communication with network and storage devices through the use of a single CPU buffer, and GPUDirect v2 provides peer-to-peer communication between discrete GPUs on a single node. GPUDirect v3, the most recent version and what is used in this manuscript, provides support for direct RDMA between GPUs across an InfiniBand interconnect for Kepler-class GPUs. This alleviates the need for staging data to/from host memory in order to transmit data via InfiniBand between GPUs on separate nodes, although it does require application code to target GPUDirect.

GPUDirect relies on three key technologies: CUDA 5 (and up), a CUDA-enabled MPI implementation, and a Kepler-class GPU (RDMA only). Both MVAPICH and OpenMPI support GPUDirect. Support for RDMA over GPUDirect is enabled by the MPI library, given supported hardware, without requiring changes to the MPI calls. In this paper, we demonstrate scaling a MD simulation to 4 nodes connected via QDR InfiniBand and show that GPUDirect RDMA improves both scalability and overall performance by approximately 9% at no cost to the end user.

3. A Cloud for High Performance Computing

With support for GPU Passthrough, SR-IOV, and GPUDirect, we have the building blocks for a high performance, heterogeneous cloud. In addition, other common accelerators (e.g. Xeon Phi [4]) have similarly been demonstrated in virtualized environments. We envision a heterogeneous cloud that supports both high speed networking and accelerators for tightly coupled applications.

To this end we developed a heterogeneous cloud based on OpenStack [7]. In our previous work, we demonstrated the ability to rapidly provision GPU, bare metal, and other heterogeneous resources within a single cloud [14]. Building on this effort we have added support for GPU passthrough to OpenStack as well as prototyped SR-IOV support for ConnectX-2 and ConnectX-3 Infiniband devices. Mellanox has since added an OpenStack InfiniBand networking plugin for OpenStack’s Neutron service [3]. While OpenStack supports services for networking (Neutron), compute (Nova), identity (Keystone), storage (Cinder, Swift), and others, our work focuses entirely on the compute service.

Scheduling is implemented at two levels: the cloud level and the node level. In our earlier work, we have developed a cloud level heterogeneous scheduler for OpenStack that allows scheduling based on architectures and resources [14]. In this model, the cloud level scheduler dispatches jobs to nodes based on resource requirements (e.g. Kepler GPU) and node level resource availability.

At the node, a second level of scheduling occurs to ensure that resources are tracked and not over-committed. Unlike traditional cloud paradigms, devices passed into VMs cannot be over-committed. We treat devices, whether GPUs or InfiniBand virtual functions, as schedulable resources. Thus, it is the responsibility of the individual node to track resources committed and report availability to the cloud level
4. Benchmarks

We selected two molecular dynamics (MD) applications for evaluation in this study: LAMMPS and HOOMD [10, 27]. These MD simulations are chosen to represent a subset of advanced parallel computation for a number of fundamental reasons:

- MD simulations provide a practical representation of N-Body simulations, which are one of the major computational Dwarfs [13] in parallel and distributed computing.
- MD simulations are one of the most widely deployed applications on large scale supercomputers today.
- Many MD simulations have a hybrid MPI+CUDA programming model, which has become commonplace in HPC as the use of accelerators increase.

As such, we look to LAMMPS and HOOMD to provide a real-world example for running cutting-edge parallel programs on virtualized infrastructure. While these applications by no means represent all parallel scientific computing efforts (see 13 Dwarfs [13]), we hope these MD simulators offer a more pragmatic viewpoint than traditional synthetic HPC benchmarks such as High Performance Linpack.

LAMMPS The Large-scale Atomic/Molecular Parallel Simulator is a well-understood highly parallel molecular dynamics simulator. It supports both CPU and GPU-based workloads. Unlike many simulators, both MD and otherwise, LAMMPS is heterogeneous. It will use both GPUs and multicore CPUs concurrently. For this study, this heterogeneous functionality introduces additional load on the host, allowing LAMMPS to utilize all available cores on a given system. Networking in LAMMPS is accomplished using a typical MPI model. That is, data is copied from the GPU back to the host and sent over the InfiniBand fabric. LAMMPS does not include GPUDirect support, and no RDMA is used for these experiments.

HOOMD-blue The Highly Optimized Object-oriented Many-particle Dynamics – Blue Edition is a particle dynamics simulator capable of scaling into the thousands of GPUs. HOOMD supports executing on both CPUs and GPUs. Unlike LAMMPS, HOOMD is homogeneous and does not support mixing of GPUs and CPUs. HOOMD supports GPUDirect using a CUDA-enabled MPI. In this paper we focus on HOOMD’s support for GPUDirect and show its benefits for increasing cluster sizes.

5. Experimental Setup

Using two molecular dynamics tools, LAMMPS[27] and HOOMD [10], we demonstrate a high performance system. That is, we combine PCI passthrough for Nvidia Kepler-class GPUs with QDR Infiniband SR-IOV and show that high performance molecular dynamics simulations are achievable within a virtualized environment. For the first time, we also demonstrate Nvidia GPUDirect technology within such a virtual environment. Thus, we look to not only illustrate that virtual machines provide a flexible high performance infrastructure for scaling scientific workloads, including MD simulations, but also that the latest HPC features and programming environments are available and efficient in this same model.

5.1 Node configuration

To support the use of Nvidia GPUs and InfiniBand within a VM, specific host configuration is needed. This node configuration is illustrated in Figure 1. While our implementation is specific to the KVM hypervisor, this setup represents a design that can be hypervisor agnostic.

Each node in the testbed uses CentOS 6.4 with a 3.13 upstream Linux kernel for the host OS, along with the latest KVM hypervisor, QEMU 2.1, and the vjoy driver. Each guest VM runs CentOS 6.4 with a stock 2.6.32-358.23.2 kernel. A Kepler GPU is passed through using PCI Passthrough and directly initiated within the VM via the Nvidia 331.20 driver and CUDA release 5.5. While this specific implementation used only a single GPU, it is also possible to include as many GPUs as one can fit within the PCI Express bus if desired. As the GPU is used by the VM, an on-board VGA device was used by the host and a standard Cirrus VGA was emulated in the guest OS. OFED version 2.1-1.0.0 drivers are used with Mellanox ConnectX-3 VPI adapter with firmware 2.31.5050. The host driver initiates 4 VFs, one of which is passed through to the VM where the default OFED mlnx_ib drivers are loaded.

5.2 Cluster Configuration

Our test environment is composed of 4 servers each with a single Nvidia Kepler-class GPU. Two servers are equipped with K20 GPUs, while the other two servers are equipped
with K40 GPUs, demonstrating the potential for a more heterogeneous deployment. Each server is composed of 2 Intel Xeon E5-2670 CPUs, 48GB of DDR3 memory, and Mellanox ConnectX-3 QDR InfiniBand. CPU sockets and memory are split evenly between the two NUMA nodes on each system. All InfiniBand adapters use a single Voltaire 4036 QDR switch with a software subnet manager for IPoIB functionality.

For these experiments, both the GPUs and InfiniBand adapters are attached to NUMA node 1 and both the guest VMs and the base system utilized identical software stacks. Each guest was allocated 20 GB of RAM and a full socket of 8 cores, and pinned to NUMA node 1 to ensure optimal hardware usage. For a fair and effective comparison, we also use a native environment without any virtualization. This native environment employs the same hardware configuration, and like the Guest OS runs CentOS 6.4 with the stock 2.6.32-358.23.2 kernel.

6. Results
In this section, we discuss the performance of both the LAMMPS and HOOMD molecular dynamics simulation tools when running within a virtualized environment. Each application set was run 10 times, with the results averaged accordingly.

6.1 LAMMPS

Figure 2. LAMMPS LJ Performance

Figure 2 shows one of the most common LAMMPS algorithms used - the Lennard-Jones potential (LJ). This algorithm is deployed in two main configurations - a 1:1 core to GPU mapping and a 8:1 core to GPU mapping, labeled in Figures 2 and 3 as 4c/4g and 32c/4g, respectively. With the LAMMPS GPU implementation, a delicate balance between GPUs and CPUs is required to find the optimal ratio for fastest computation, however here we just look at the two most obvious choices. With small problem sizes, the 1:1 mapping outperforms the more complex core deploy-
RDMA for GPUDirect. From Figure 4 we can see that HOOMD simulations, both with and without GPUDirect, perform very near-native. The GPUDirect results at 4 nodes achieve 98.5% of the base system’s performance. The non-GPUDirect results achieve 98.4% efficiency at 4 nodes. These results indicate the virtualized HPC environment is able to support such complex workloads. While the effective testbed size is relatively small, it indicates that such workloads may scale equally well to hundreds or thousands of nodes. The advantage of using GPUDirect RDMA is also evident in Figure 4, with a 9% performance boost realized for both virtualized and non-virtualized experiments.

![Figure 4. HOOMD LJ Performance with 256k Simulation](image)

### 7. Discussion

From the results, we see the potential for running HPC applications in a virtualized environment using GPUs and InfiniBand interconnect fabric. Across all LAMMPS runs, we found only a 1.9% overhead between the KVM virtualized environment and native. For HOOMD, we found a similar 1.5% overhead, both with and without GPU Direct. These results go against conventional wisdom that HPC workloads perform poorly in VMs. In fact, we show two N-Body type simulations programmed in an MPI+CUDA implementation perform at roughly near-native performance in tuned KVM virtual machines.

With HOOMD, we see how GPUDirect RDMA shows a clear advantage over the non-GPUDirect implementation, achieving a 9% performance boost in both the native and virtualized experiments. While GPUDirect’s performance impact has been well evaluated previously [2], it is the authors’ belief that this manuscript represents the first time GPUDirect has been utilized in a virtualized environment.

Another interesting finding of running LAMMPS in a virtualized environment is that as workload increases from a single node to 32 cores, the overhead does not increase. These results lend credence to the notion that this solution would also work for a much larger deployment, assuming system jitter can be minimized [31]. Specifically, it would be possible to expand such computational problems to a larger deployment in FutureGrid [17], Chameleon Cloud [22], or even the planned NSF Comet machine at SDSC, scheduled to provide up to 2 Petaflops of computational power. Effectively, these results provide evidence that HPC computations can be supported in virtualized environment with minimal overhead.

### 8. Conclusion

The ability to run large-scale parallel scientific applications in the cloud has become possible, but historically has been limited by both performance concerns and infrastructure availability. In this work we show that advanced HPC-oriented hardware such as the latest Nvidia GPUs and InfiniBand fabric are now available within a virtualized infrastructure. Our results find MPI + CUDA applications, such as molecular dynamics simulations, run at near-native performance compared to traditional non-virtualized HPC infrastructure, with just an averaged 1.9% and 1.5% overhead for LAMMPS and HOOMD, respectively. We also show the utility of GPUDirect RDMA for the first time in a cloud environment with HOOMD. The support of these workloads coalesce to provide an open source Infrastructure-as-a-Service framework using OpenStack. Our future efforts will be twofold. We first hope to increase the scale to significantly larger cloud infrastructure on a new experimental system and confirm near-native performance is achievable in a virtualized environment. We also look to expand the workload diversity and support many other HPC and big data applications running efficiently in virtual clusters.
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